

## REMARKS

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1, 3-14, 18-20, 27, 28 and 53-55 under 35 USC 103.

Claims 1, 22-26 and 29 have been amended. Claims 21 and 53-55 have been cancelled. Thus, claims 1, 3-20, 22-31, 34-51, 56-74 and 76 are pending in the application. Applicant believes that all pending claims are allowable and respectfully requests a Notice of Allowance for this application from the Examiner (see “Allowable Subject Matter” below).

### ***Claim Rejections – 35 USC 103***

**Claims 1, 3-14, 18-20, 27, 28 and 53-55 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *An et al* (6,494,593) in further view of *Pederson* (6,476,726).**

It is believed that the rejections are moot. Claim 1 has been amended to include allowable subject matter, particularly the limitations of claim 21. Claims 53-55 have been cancelled.

Although these claims have been amended or canceled, it should be emphasized that this was done to expedite the prosecution of the case. It is still believed that the outstanding rejections are unsupported by the art and should be withdrawn. In fact, the Applicant believes that a *prima facie* case has not been properly made. Particularly, one skilled in the art would not be motivated to combine *An* with *Pederson*. *An* is directed at a lap top computer and *Pederson* is directed at a personal warning light that is placed for example on the top of a police car.

It should be noted that the Applicant reserves the right to pursue the previous claims in a continuing application.

***Allowable Subject Matter***

Claims 34-51, 56-74 and 76 have been allowed.

Claims 15-17, 21-26 and 29-31 have been objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claims and any intervening claims.

Claims 1 and 29 have been amended to place them in a condition for allowance. Claim 1 was amended to include the limitations from allowed dependent claim 21. Allowed dependent claim 29 was amended to include the limitations from independent claim 1. No new matter has been introduced by these amendments. Claims 1 and 29 (as well as their dependents) are therefore allowable as the Examiner indicated allowable subject matter in the outstanding Office Action.

**SUMMARY**

Applicant believes that all pending claims are allowable and respectfully requests a Notice of Allowance for this application from the Examiner. Should the Examiner believe that a telephone conference would expedite the prosecution of this application, the undersigned can be reached at the telephone number set out below.

Respectfully submitted,  
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP



Quin C. Hoellwarth  
Reg. No. 45,738

P.O. Box 778  
Berkeley, CA 94704-0778  
(650) 961-8300